

Summary of modifications to netCDF Fortran (4.4.2 dev) Fortran 2003 interfaces for UCAR netCDF developers

Richard Weed
Jan. 23, 2016

1.0 Introduction

I have made a series modification to the netCDF Fortran 2003 interfaces I developed several years ago to remove any explicit dependency on the `NC_MAX_DIM` constant for arrays passed to the C interfaces along with cleaning up some of the code and adding support for the `nc_open_mem` function. Removing the dependency on `NC_MAX_DIM` eliminates the possibility of segmentation or memory faults that could occur with the automatic arrays I had statically sized with `NC_MAX_DIM`. Some compilers will default to putting automatic arrays on the stack by default. Others will look at the size of the array and put it on the stack if its smaller than some threshold. Finally, a few will always put automatic arrays on the heap unless you tell it otherwise with a compiler switch. By replacing all of the automatic arrays with allocatable arrays, the memory for these arrays will always be placed on the heap. This mod also has the advantage of reducing memory requirements for the case were installers make a local modification to increase the size of `NC_MAX_DIM` to some very large number even if the actual number of dimensions used is much smaller than `NC_MAX_DIMS`. Most of the modifications were straightforward but necessitated the addition of a few new Fortran specific C routines to return a value that was used to set the allocation size of some arrays.

A second set of modifications include some general code cleanup to make some cosmetic changes to match my current coding style. For example, I previously had the `KIND=` keyword on all type definitions. I removed the majority of them since I find (now after several years of writing Fortran ≥ 90 code) to be overkill. I also changed the length parameter I returned with my `addCNullChar` function to include the appended `C_NULL_CHAR` to the final length. This allowed me to remove a +1 I was adding to the substring length I was passing to the C routines. I also discovered an bug in the V2 interfaces that has been there since I first wrote the code (almost 10 years ago now). I was subtracting 1 from the stride values in the routines that use them. This was never detected because there are no test programs for the V2 interfaces that call these functions (`ncvptg`, `ncvpcc`, `ncvgtg`, `ncvgcc`).

A third set of mods were made to my routines that define the various error codes etc. to make them compatible with the values in the f90 interfaces `netcdf_constants.f90` routine plus some updates to reflect the current C code values.

A final modification was made to provide support for the `nc_open_mem` function to create an “in memory” file option. Since I was uncertain based on what I read about the function in the netCDF C 4.4 documentation how the function was designed to be used, I assumed that one use would be to read an existing file into memory as a C (or Fortran) stream using standard C or Fortran I/O routines and pass a pointer to the memory containing the file to `nc_open_mem`. I implemented this in Fortran assuming that the netcdf file was first read into an array of `C_CHAR` (1 byte) values. This array would probably need to be allocated. Therefore, an explicit interface was created that should be used to pass the “in-memory file (ie. the array of `C_CHAR` values) to the underlying C routines to avoid the probability of the compiler trying to “copy-in” a very large block of memory instead of just passing a pointer. Without the interface, the compiler will assume the function (`nc_open_mem`) is an external routine and will probably decide it has to do a “copy-in”. Unfortunately, this makes creating a

standalone `nf90_open_mem` a little problematic since it relies on the existence of the Fortran 2003 `ISO_C_BINDING` module that contains the `C_CHAR` kind definition and precludes using the old `cfortran.h` interfaces. Until I can decide a better approach for adding an `nf90_` interface, I have provided the interface to `nf_open_mem` (contained in the file `module_netcdf_nf_interfaces.f90`) with an additional generic name (`nf90_open_mem`) so that a reference to `nf90_open_mem` is a direct call to `nf_open_mem`. To support testing of `nf_open_mem`, I created a test program that I placed in `nf03_test` called `f03tst_open_mem.F` that uses the logic from `f03tst_vars6.F` to create a netCDF file that is then read into memory as a stream and then opened as an in-memory file and checked using the final checks found in `f03tst_vars6.F`. The `Makefile.am` file in `nf03_test` was modified to add the `nf_open_mem` test.

2.0 Summary of modified routines

All of my routines (the ones that start with `module_` or `nf_`) were modified during the code cleanup. The following routines were changed to remove the `NC_MAX_DIMS` dependency : `nf_fortv2.f90`, `nf_genvar.f90`, `nf_nc4.f90`, `nf_var1io.F90`, `nf_varaio.F90`, `nf_varmio.F90` and `nf_varsio.F90`. In addition, three new C routines were created and placed in `nf_lib.c`. These routines are name `nc_inq_compound_field_ndims`, `nc_inq_numgrps`, and `nc_inq_numtypes` and are used to return the number of `dims_sizes`, `groups`, and `types` for a defined `ncid`.

The new `nf_open_mem` function was placed in `nf_control.F90` since I was unsure if it was a NETCDF4 only function or was used for both NETCDF3 and NETCDF4. The C – interop interface for `nc_open_mem` was placed in `module_netcdf_nc_interfaces.f90` and an explicit Fortran interface for `nf_open_mem` was defined in `module_netcdf_nf_interfaces.f90`.

Routine `module_netcdf_nc_data.f90` and `module_netcdf_nf_data.F90` were modified to add new NC and NF parameters for errors, types, modes etc.

3.0 Testing

All tests were made using `netcdf-C-4.4` built with HDF5 1.8.16. `openMPI 1.10.1` was used for parallel builds and tests. The modifications were made to the development (pre 4.4.3 release) version of `netcdf-fortran` from around Jan. 15, 2015. `make check` serial tests were run with the following compilers and Linux OS versions using workstations with Intel XEON or I7 processors

`gcc/gfortran 5.3` with Linux Mint 17.3 MATE edition (AKA Ubuntu 14.0.4 LTS)

`gcc/gfortran 4.8.5` with Linux Mint 17.3

Intel 14.0.1 (`icc/ifort`) with Linux Mint 17.3

`gcc/gfortran 4.8.3` with Centos (RHEL) 6.5

Intel 15.0.2 (`icc/ifort`) with Centos 6.5

`pgi-2014 (14.10)` with Centos 6.5

All the standard serial `make check` tests ran successfully for the modified code for all of the above compilers and Linuxes. A single parallel test was run using `gcc/gfortran 5.3` on Linux Mint 17.3. As with the serial tests, the parallel tests all ran successfully.

The new code to support “in-memory” files via a call to `nc_open_mem` was tested using the new `f03tst_open_mem.F` test program in the `nf03_test` directory. The resulting log file suggest it ran successfully. As stated previously, I took an existing test program (`f03tst_vars6.F`) and modified it to

replace the reopening of the netcdf file created during the code via `nf_open` with a call to `nf_open_mem` that passes a pointer to an array of `C_CHAR` values that I read the contents of the file created by the program (`f03tst_open_mem.nc`) into using an unformatted Fortran read statement with the file opened with `STREAM` access. This is followed in the code by a call to a check program that uses the `ncid` generated by `nf_open_mem` to access the data in the file.

4.0 Issues encountered with pre-4.4.3 development release build setup.

I encountered one issue with the `configure/autoHell` build process (didn't try `Cmake`). For some reason, I had to explicitly set `F77` and `FFLAGS` along with `FC` and `FCFLAGS` to get the make checks to run. I think in the absence of an explicit `F77` environment variable, make check should just default to `FC`. Frankly, I would remove all reference to `F77` in the build process anyway. Unless someone is trying to build on a 20 year old SGI with an MIPS compiler, there is no such thing as a pure `F77` compiler anymore at least one you would want to use. Some compilers do have a `-f77standard` like switch that effectively turns off all `F90` and greater features but why would you want to do that since most (probably all) modern Fortran compilers will compile old `F77` code as good as or better than the original `F77` compilers will. I also modified the shell scripts that run the parallel tests to replace the explicit `mpiexec` command with a `$MPIEXEC` environment variable that I set to the path to `mpiexec` (ie)

```
export MPIEXEC=/opt/openMPI/bin/mpiexec
```

I've found over the years this is much safer than hardwiring an explicit path in a shell script and I suggest UCAR consider a similar strategy as part of the build and test process.

5.0 Suggested Mods

One mod I would suggest is to merge the definition of the default `KIND` parameters defined currently defined in `typeSizes.f90` with the way I define equivalent values in `module_netcdf_nc_data.F90` using the Fortran 2008 intrinsic parameters if supported by the compiler or define equivalent parameters using `selected_int` and `selected_real` kind functions that have the same names as the Fortran 2008 values. I suggest that my logic be moved into `typeSizes.f90` (renamed `typesizes.F90` to allow pre-processing and my logic in `module_netcdf_nc_data.F90` be replaced with a `USE typeSizes`. This will require `typeSizes.F90` be the first thing compiled (before all the other `netcdf`, `module_` and `nf_` routines). I would have done this but I was in no mood to dive into `autoHell` and hack `Makefile.am` etc. However, I'm including modified versions of `typeSizes.f90` and my `module_netcdf_nc_data.F90` that implement this mod (see `SUGGESTED_MODS` subdir)

6.0 Possible Future mods

One thing missing due to a lack of a good way to support it in `F90` or `F77` is support for varying string arrays etc. Fortran 2003/2008 and soon to be 2015 open up several ways to implement this but its going to take some thought as to what is the best way to do it in the long run. You could do something now in Fortran 90 with arrays of fixed length strings but that is probably not what you want. Therefore, to do it right you will need to use something like an array of `C_PTRs` that contain the C address of deferred length string (Fortran 2003 way of doing varying length strings). This is probably the closest thing to the `char **val` array pointer definition in the C interfaces. The ultimate future mod would be a Fortran 2008/2015 object oriented package that (if designed correctly) could drastically reduce the

amount of code but that as with all OO projects requires you get the design correct from the start or its not worth the effort.

I'll continue to provide what support I can for my code but unfortunately my time to work on this is pretty limited so I can't guarantee I can help with anything other than fixing obvious errors. However, I will try to answer any questions about this set of mods and other Fortran issues.

RW

Jan. 2016